# Program Plan and Findings: Four Column Layout



# Program (CAS) - CS - Computer Science (PhD) - 054

**Program Mission Statement:** 1) to pursue and to publicize research projects in computer science in order to extend the present state of knowledge in the computer field

- 2) to educate students in all program levels in order to provide them with the knowledge, interest, and ethics
- to become productive members of the computing profession
- 3) to serve as an initial and continuing source of education in the field of computer science

## **Program Information**

#### 2019 - 2020

#### **Program Information**

Assessment Coordinator's Name: Johnson P Thomas

Assessment Coordinator's E-mail Address: johnson.thomas@okstate.edu

Number of Students Enrolled in the Program: 21

**Total Number of Students Graduated:** 3

Number of Student Graduates from Stillwater Campus: 3 Number of Student Graduates from Tulsa Campus: 0

Were university assessment funds used by the department/program for assessment activities?: No If yes, describe how funds were used and the contribution the funds had on the assessment process:

### **Annual Executive Summaries**

#### 2019 - 2020

Program Assessment Coordinator: Johnson P Thomas

#### **Plan Review and Approval**

**Date Current Plan Was Reviewed and Approved:** 

**Date of Future Plan Review and Approval:** 

#### **Summary of Assessment Findings**

**Describe overall assessment findings and faculty members' interpretation of the assessment results:** Dissemination of research results through publications is to be encouraged.

#### **Dissemination of Findings**

Describe the individual(s) or committee responsible for reviewing and interpreting assessment data: Graduate committee and faculty

**Describe the process for sharing and discussing assessment findings with program faculty:** The graduate coordinator presents the findings to faculty at departmental meetings where the results are discussed. The findings are distributed to all faculty before the departmental meetings.

#### **Program Improvements Based on Assessment**

Based on data collected this year, what changes are being considered or planned for the program?: No formal changes. Encourage more publications

Based on this year's findings, what (if any) changes are planned for the assessment process?: None

Describe the process for implementing these changes/planned program improvements: Not applicable

**Program Improvements Made in the Last Year:** Course Improvements

"Other" Improvements:

**Goals for the Coming Year:** Increase number of publications

Is this Summary Report Complete?: Yes

List all individuals associated with this report preparation: Johnson P Thomas and K M George

#### Use of Findings (Actions) **Findings Outcomes** Assessment Methods Knowledge - Has the capability and Evaluations were performed by the Reporting Period: 2019 - 2020 the background necessary to conduct graduate committee of each Conclusion: 3 - Meets Program Expectations (Proficient) short-term expository research in an student. The average score was 3.44 out of 5. (10/02/2020) \* Learning Outcome assigned area. Number of Students Assessed: 9 Outcome Status: Active Goal/Benchmark: Number of Successful Students: 99999 Planned Assessment Year: 2016 -Timeline for Assessment: At How were students selected to participate in the 2017, 2017 - 2018, 2019 - 2020 diagnostic examination time. assessment of this outcome?: Students in the Ph.D. **Start Date:** 10/02/2020 Other Assessment Type: program presenting diagnostic examination were Archived Date: evaluated. Outcome Type: Knowledge What do the findings suggest about student achievement Reason for Archival: of this learning outcome?: The score indicates a competent to proficient level in conducting short-term expository research in an assigned area. Publication - Has had her/his Evaluations were performed by the Reporting Period: 2019 - 2020 **Use of Findings (Actions):** research results published or graduate committee of each **Conclusion:** 3 - Meets Program Expectations (Proficient) Recommend target of 2 papers accepted for publication in peerstudent. The average score was 3(10/02/2020)every academic year for each reviewed and refereed conferences \* Learning Outcome Number of Students Assessed: 11 person who has passed the or journals. Goal/Benchmark: Number of Successful Students: 9 comprehensive (10/02/2020) Timeline for Assessment: At How were students selected to participate in the Outcome Status: Active comprehensive examination time. assessment of this outcome?: All students who completed Planned Assessment Year: 2016 -2017, 2017 - 2018, 2019 - 2020 Other Assessment Type: their comprehensive exams were evaluated. Start Date: What do the findings suggest about student achievement Archived Date: of this learning outcome?: The score indicates program expectations are met. The performance has been varied Outcome Type: Skills between individuals Reason for Archival: **Research -** Reviews the literature in a Evaluations were performed by the Reporting Period: 2019 - 2020

10/08/2020 Generated by Nuventive Improve Page 2 of 4

**Outcomes Assessment Methods Findings** Use of Findings (Actions) way that demonstrates a graduate committee of each Conclusion: 3 - Meets Program Expectations (Proficient) comprehensive understanding of the student. The average was 3.5 (10/02/2020) Number of Students Assessed: 3 research in the area of study. \* Learning Outcome Outcome Status: Active Goal/Benchmark: Number of Successful Students: 3 Timeline for Assessment: At Planned Assessment Year: 2016 -How were students selected to participate in the 2017, 2017 - 2018, 2019 - 2020 dissertation proposal time. assessment of this outcome?: All students who presented a Start Date: thesis proposal were evaluated. Other Assessment Type: Archived Date: What do the findings suggest about student achievement of this learning outcome?: The score indicates a competent Outcome Type: Knowledge to proficient level. Reason for Archival: **Research Question - Identifies** Evaluations were performed by the Reporting Period: 2019 - 2020 research questions or problems graduate committee of each Conclusion: 3 - Meets Program Expectations (Proficient) pertinent to the field of study in order student. Average was 3.7 (10/02/2020) to provide a focus for making a Number of Students Assessed: 3 \* Learning Outcome significant contribution to the field. Goal/Benchmark: Number of Successful Students: 3 Outcome Status: Active Timeline for Assessment: At How were students selected to participate in the Planned Assessment Year: 2016 dissertation proposal presentation assessment of this outcome?: All students presenting a 2017. 2017 - 2018. 2019 - 2020 thesis proposal were assessed. time. Other Assessment Type: What do the findings suggest about student achievement **Start Date: Archived Date:** of this learning outcome?: The score indicates a competent Outcome Type: Knowledge to proficient level Reason for Archival: Data Analysis - Gathers, organizes, Evaluations were performed by the Reporting Period: 2019 - 2020 analyses, and reports data using a graduate committee of each Conclusion: 3 - Meets Program Expectations (Proficient) conceptual framework appropriate to student. Average is 3.9 (10/02/2020) the research question and to the field \* Learning Outcome Number of Students Assessed: 3 Goal/Benchmark: Number of Successful Students: 3 of study. Outcome Status: Active Timeline for Assessment: At How were students selected to participate in the dissertation defense. Planned Assessment Year: 2016 assessment of this outcome?: All students defending their Other Assessment Type: 2017, 2017 - 2018, 2019 - 2020 thesis were assessed. Start Date: What do the findings suggest about student achievement **Archived Date:** of this learning outcome?: The score indicates a competent to proficient level Outcome Type: Skills Reason for Archival: **Reporting -** Interprets research Evaluations were performed by the Reporting Period: 2019 - 2020 results in a way that adds to the graduate committee of each Conclusion: 3 - Meets Program Expectations (Proficient) understanding of the field of study Average was 3.5 (10/02/2020) student. and relates the findings to teaching \* Learning Outcome Number of Students Assessed: 3

Number of Successful Students: 3

Goal/Benchmark:

and learning.

**Outcomes** Assessment Methods Use of Findings (Actions) **Findings** How were students selected to participate in the **Outcome Status:** Active Timeline for Assessment: At assessment of this outcome?: All students defending their Planned Assessment Year: 2016 dissertation defense. thesis were assessed. 2017, 2017 - 2018, 2019 - 2020 Other Assessment Type: What do the findings suggest about student achievement Start Date: of this learning outcome?: The score indicates a competent **Archived Date:** to proficient level. Outcome Type: Skills Reason for Archival: **Communication - Communicates** Evaluations were performed by the Reporting Period: 2019 - 2020 research results effectively in both graduate committee of each Conclusion: 3 - Meets Program Expectations (Proficient) written and oral forms using language student. Average was 3.55 (10/02/2020) appropriate to the field of study. \* Learning Outcome Number of Students Assessed: 3 **Outcome Status:** Active **Goal/Benchmark:** Number of Successful Students: 3 Planned Assessment Year: 2016 -Timeline for Assessment: At How were students selected to participate in the 2017, 2017 - 2018, 2019 - 2020 dissertation defense. assessment of this outcome?: All students defending their Start Date: Other Assessment Type: thesis were assessed. **Archived Date:** What do the findings suggest about student achievement Outcome Type: Skills of this learning outcome?: The score indicates a competent Reason for Archival: to proficient level. Research Plan - Has established a Evaluations were performed by the Reporting Period: 2019 - 2020 productive research agenda that graduate committee of each Conclusion: 3 - Meets Program Expectations (Proficient) prepares her/him to extend the student. Average is 3.3 (10/02/2020) research beyond graduate school. \* Learning Outcome Number of Students Assessed: 3 Outcome Status: Active Goal/Benchmark: Number of Successful Students: 3 Planned Assessment Year: 2016 -Timeline for Assessment: At How were students selected to participate in the 2017, 2017 - 2018, 2019 - 2020 dissertation defense. assessment of this outcome?: All students defending their Start Date: **Other Assessment Type:** thesis were assessed

**Archived Date:** 

Outcome Type: Knowledge

Reason for Archival:

What do the findings suggest about student achievement of this learning outcome?: The score indicates a competent

to proficient level.