

# College of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources Agricultural Economics, MS & MAg and Agribusiness, MAg

Assessment Report Form for 2014-2015 Academic Year

Date of Report: 8/1/2015

Name of Person Submitting Report: Dr. Raymond Joe Schatzer

#### A. Program Information:

Assessment Coordinator's Name: Dr. Raymond Joe Schatzer

Assessment Coordinator's Email Address: joe.schatzer@okstate.edu

Number of students enrolled in the program 2014-2015: 27

Number of students graduated in 2014-2015: 17

#### **B. Program Mission Statement**

In the box below, provide the mission statement for the program.

The mission statement, educational objectives, and goals for program should guide the assessment process. The mission statement should align with department, college, and institutional mission statements.

The Agricultural Economics Department will discover, develop, disseminate, and preserve knowledge needed to enhance quality of life and foster rural development. The Agricultural Economics Department will have programs of state, national, and international eminence in teaching, research, and extension that capitalize on our unique ability to integrate the physical, social, and management sciences for effective problem solving and decision making in agricultural industries and rural economies. The specific goals related to university instruction are: maintain high standards of excellence in instruction, research, and extension/outreach; and recruit, retain, and graduate students in a collegial environment that encourages excellence, prepares them for careers, enriches their personal growth, fosters their discovery of knowledge, and promotes leadership.

#### C. University Assessment Funds

| Were university assessment funds used by the department/program for assessment activities?                                  | ⊠No       |
|---|-----------|
| If university assessment funds were used by the department or program, describe how university assessment funds were used   | and the   |
| contribution the funds had on the assessment process. Funding requests for the next academic year have a separate process a | nd should |
| not be included here.   |           |

#### **D. Student Learning Outcomes**

On the pages that follow, list the Student Learning Outcomes associated with the program identified in this assessment form.

D1) Student Learning Outcome #1: Graduates will be able to use the concepts and tools of the agricultural economist to analyze and to develop solutions to agricultural and natural resources issues and problems.

#### Identify opportunities for students to learn this outcome during the 2014-2015 academic year:

For example, include a curriculum map that lists the courses or other learning experiences in which the student learning outcome is taught. Another example is a written narrative that describes how the learning outcome is integrated into the program.

Students have the opportunity to develop competence in this objective through the required and elective courses taken during their Master's program.

How many students were included in the assessment of this outcome? **17** How were students selected to participate in the assessment of this outcome? Students select when they make the oral presentation and take the oral exam in consultation with their major advisor. **Assessment Methods** Identify the method(s) used to assess this learning outcome. Check all that apply. Survey Satisfaction Survey Internship  $\square$ Rating of skills (e.g., rubrics) Benchmarking Interviews Analysis of written artifacts Measuring effectiveness relative to Performance or jury Visual collection (photos, videos, etc.) Comprehensive, certification, or professional standards Review of thesis/dissertation/ creative Review of student research professional exam(s) Oral presentation component Other (please specify): Course project Capstone project Click here to specify. Describe the how the assessment method was implemented, administered, and/or conducted. Each M.S. or M.Ag. graduate must complete a thesis or creative component. We have developed a rubric to rate the student's written communication skills shown while completing the thesis/creative component. The student's committee members may use the rubric to score the student. Yes  $\bowtie$ No Did your department/program faculty have a goal set for this learning outcome? For example, "80% of students included in the assessment will receive a 4 on the rubric" or "80% of students included in the assessment will achieve a passing score on the certification exam." If yes, please describe the goal below. Provide a summary of the results from the assessment of Learning Outcome 1. Report student's scores for this assessment, as well as students' strengths and weaknesses relative to this learning outcome. Most students are doing satisfactory, three or better on the five-point schedule, on most of the areas related to this objective. Some areas of the writing rubric do result in lower scores, mainly associated with writing skills of international students. What do the results suggest about student achievement of this learning outcome? We believe the students are achieving this objective at an acceptable rate. **Timeline for the Assessment** Indicate the timeline for the assessment of this learning outcome. While outcomes assessment must be conducted every year, not all student learning outcomes for a given program must be assessed every year. If the assessment of a particular learning outcome occurs on cycle or rotation, please describe and provide the rationale for the cycle/rotation below. Each Semester Every other year Other (please specify): Yearly

D2) Student Learning Outcome #2: Graduates will demonstrate the ability to communicate effectively in written and oral forms economic concepts, methods and analysis.

#### Identify opportunities for students to learn this outcome during the 2014-2015 academic year:

For example, include a curriculum map that lists the courses or other learning experiences in which the student learning outcome is taught. Another example is a written narrative that describes how the learning outcome is integrated into the program.

Graduates will work with their committee to develop a thesis or creative component using concepts and tools learned in their courses.

How many students were included in the assessment of this outcome? How were students selected to participate in the assessment of this outcome? Students self-select by completing the thesis or creative component. **Assessment Methods** Identify the method(s) used to assess this learning outcome. Check all that apply. Internship Survey Satisfaction Survey  $\square$ Rating of skills (e.g., rubrics) Benchmarking Interviews Analysis of written artifacts Measuring effectiveness relative to Performance or jury Comprehensive, certification, or professional standards Visual collection (photos, videos, etc.) professional exam(s) Review of thesis/dissertation/ creative Review of student research Oral presentation component Other (please specify): Course project Click here to specify. Describe the how the assessment method was implemented, administered, and/or conducted. Each M.S. or M.Ag. graduate must complete a thesis or creative component. We have developed a rubric to rate the student's written communication skills shown while completing the thesis/creative component. The student's committee members may use the rubric to score the student. Each M.S. student must complete a final oral exam. We have developed a rubric to rate the student's oral communication skills during the final oral exam that people attending the exams may use to score the student. Did your department/program faculty have a goal set for this learning outcome? For example, "80% of students included in the assessment will receive a 4 on the rubric" or "80% of students included in the assessment will achieve a passing score on the certification exam." If yes, please describe the goal below. Provide a summary of the results from the assessment of Learning Outcome 2. Report student's scores for this assessment, as well as students' strengths and weaknesses relative to this learning outcome. Most of the students are achieving an average of three or higher on the five-point schedule for the areas on the oral presentation rubric. Individual scores on written areas are not as strong for some students, but on average, they are acceptable. What do the results suggest about student achievement of this learning outcome? We believe students that are graduating are achieving this objective. **Timeline for the Assessment** Indicate the timeline for the assessment of this learning outcome. While outcomes assessment must be conducted every year, not all student learning outcomes for a given program must be assessed every year. If the assessment of a particular learning outcome occurs on cycle or rotation, please describe and provide the rationale for the cycle/rotation below. Each Semester Yearly Every other year Other (please specify): It is the student's committee chair's responsibility to provide the written rubric to the committee and oral rubric to the attendees of the oral.

D3) Student Learning Outcome #3: Graduates will express satisfaction with their experience as a Department of Agricultural **Economics graduate student.** 

#### Identify opportunities for students to learn this outcome during the 2014-2015 academic year:

For example, include a curriculum map that lists the courses or other learning experiences in which the student learning outcome is taught. Another example is a written narrative that describes how the learning outcome is integrated into the program.

d

| during the year.  | achieve this outcome by particip   | ating in the courses and pi                                | rograms offered by the department  |
|---|------------------------------------|--|--|
| How many students were include Six  | d in the assessment of this outco  | me?  |  |
| How were students selected to pa<br>All graduating PhD students are p<br>Assessment Methods<br>Identify the method(s) used to as                          | resented with the opportunity to   | conduct an exit interview                                  | with the Department Head.  |
| Survey Rating of skills (e.g., rubrics) Analysis of written artifacts Comprehensive, certification, professional exam(s) Oral presentation Course project | or professional stand              | ctiveness relative to<br>ards<br>is/dissertation/ creative | ☐ Internship ☐ Interviews ☐ Performance or jury ☐ Visual collection (photos, videos, etc.) ☐ Review of student research ☐ Other (please specify): Click here to specify. |
| Describe the how the assessment<br>Each student will complete an exi<br>Surveys of alumni conducted by U  | t interview in the semester of gr  | aduation or the semester b                                 | efore graduation.  |
| Did your department/program factor example, "80% of students included will achieve a passing score on the   | uded in the assessment will receiv | ve a 4 on the rubric" or "809                              | es No<br>% of students included in the assessment  |
| Provide a summary of the results<br>Report student's scores for this ass<br>All students are satisfied or very s  | essment, as well as students' stre | engths and weaknesses rela                                 | tive to this learning outcome. things to say about the department.   |
| What do the results suggest abou<br>We believe students are achieving   |                                    | irning outcome?  |  |
|   | ven program must be assessed ev    | ery year. If the assessment                                | must be conducted every year, not all<br>of a particular learning outcome occurs   |
| ☐ Each Semester    ☐ Yeard and satisfaction surveys done in or an each satisfaction.  | , , ,                              | Other (please specify                                      | y): Exit interviews conducted every semeste  |

#### **E. Summary of Assessment Results**

Describe the overall results of the program assessment and program faculty members' interpretation of the assessment results.

What did the assessment reveal? What do faculty interpret the results to mean? What do the results suggest about the curriculum, teaching practices, and/or student achievement of the program learning outcomes?

Assessment results reveal that students continue to perform at a rate expect by the faculty. At this time, we do not see the need to make any curriculum changes or change in the way Master's students are advised.

#### F. Dissemination of Results

Describe the individual(s) or committee (e.g., a curriculum committee) responsible for reviewing and interpreting assessment data.

Dr. Joe Schatzer is designated as the Outcomes Assessment Coordinator. Assessment is a part of the responsibility of the Curriculum and Assessment Committee that is co-chaired by Dr. Schatzer and Dr. Wade Brorsen.

Describe the process for sharing and discussing assessment results with program faculty. Reports are shared with faculty on a regular basis.

#### G. <u>Program Improvements Based on Assessment</u>

Based on the findings of this assessment, what changes are being considered or planned for the <u>program</u>? Describe the actions that will be taken as a result of the discussion of the assessment evidence.

No changes are planned for the M.S. program. For the M.Ag. program we are planning to drop the Agricultural Economics option because students have not used it.

Based on the findings of this assessment, what (if any) changes are planned for the <u>assessment process</u>? For example, are there additional assessment data that may need to be collected? Are changes to the program assessment plan warranted?

No changes are planned.

Describe the process for implementing these changes/planned program improvements. Paperwork will be submitted to delete the Agribusiness option under the M.Ag. program this year.

#### H. Assessment Tools

Please provide a copy of any assessment tools (questionnaire, scale, interview questions, etc.) here.

## Department of Agricultural Economics Thesis/Dissertation Rubric

#### Graduate Program Assessment Written Component

The Graduate Advisory Committee will complete the form upon successful completion of the creative component, thesis, or dissertation for graduation. The Student's Advisor will collect completed forms and provide them to the Department Curriculum Committee to keep as part of an assessment archive and source for potential reports or audits, with any such reports maintaining the student's anonymity. This instrument is for outcomes assessment only and it will not become part of the student's record. The form is not intended as part of the evaluation of the student's qualifications.

| Evaluator name:                       | Month/year of assessment:    |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Month/year student entered program: _ | Degree program:              |
| Student name:                         | Semester/year of graduation: |

Please read each of the statements below and respond by providing a number indicating your perception of the student's level of achievement or performance with each. Any references to "the study" or "the analysis" implies as shown in the written presentation of the thesis or dissertation itself. The scale is as follows:

1–not sufficient or acceptable; 3–sufficient or acceptable; 5–superior or excellent (Note: evaluator may opt for a 2 or 4 if it is difficult to choose between 1, 3 or 5; NA may be used if a specific category/characteristic does not apply to this student's written component.)

| CATEGORY      | CHARACTERISTIC   | SCORE    | COMMENTS |
|---------------|--|----------|----------|
| 0 : ""        | T  | (1-5/NA) |          |
| Scientific    | a. The student followed the scientific method.   |          |          |
|               | b. The student was objective in the written presentation.  |          |          |
|               | c. The quality of the data meets minimum standards for scientific study  |          |          |
|               | d. The study confirms or furthers economic knowledge.  |          |          |
|               | e. The study includes an appropriate literature review.  |          |          |
|               | f. The study clearly explained either a recognized methodology or appropriate development of new methods.                          |          |          |
|               | g. The study effectively conducts data analysis and clearly interprets such in the written form.                                   |          |          |
|               | h. The study clearly reflects a competent understanding of problem and related analysis.   |          |          |
|               | i. The study identifies the potential for further research.  |          |          |
| Structure     | a. The objectives of the study are clearly stated.   |          |          |
|               | b. The study addresses each of the objectives.   |          |          |
|               | c. The study clearly states a testable hypothesis.   |          |          |
|               | d. The study successfully tests the initial hypothesis.  |          |          |
|               | e. The study clearly frames the problem in the problem statement.  |          |          |
| Presentation  | a. The study follows a standard professional format and reference scheme, with appropriate credit given to all presented material. |          |          |
|               | b. The writing shows no grammatical, spelling or sentence construction errors.   |          |          |
|               | c. The study appropriately communicated at a professional level.   |          |          |
| Applicability | a. A reading of the study suggests the potential for professional publication(s) or presentation(s).                               |          |          |
|               | b. A reading of the study suggests the potential for popular publication(s) or presentation(s).                                    |          |          |
|               | c. A reading of the study suggests the potential for outreach program(s) based on the study.                                       |          |          |
| Procedures    | The study effectively used and clearly presented visual aids (tables, figures, charts, etc.).                                      |          |          |

Comments may be included on the back.

| <b>Department of Agricultural Econ</b> This instrument is for outcomes ass evaluation of the student's qualification | essment only and | it will not become part | t of the student's rec | Oral Presentation Assessment. The form is not intended |  |
|--|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Student's Degree program:Faculty Date:Faculty  | PhD<br>Grad      | MS luate Student        | Other                  | MAG  |  |

Note: Evaluator may select a 2 or 4 if it is difficult to choose between 1, 3 or 5; NA may be used if a specific category or characteristic does not apply to this student's presentation.

| Item                       | 5   | 3  | 1  | Score |
|----------------------------|---|--|--|-------|
| Motivation and Conclusions | I fully understood why the research was done and what was learned | I have an incomplete understanding   | Not sure why the research was done or what was learned         |       |
| Data and Methods           | I understood the data and the methods                             | I have an incomplete understanding   | Not sure what was done   |       |
| Questions                  | Directly answered the questions asked.                            | Incomplete or rambling answers.  | Responded to different questions or failed to provide answers. |       |
| Visuals                    | Visuals were clear and contributed positively to the presentation | Visuals were helpful, but there were some excess words and/or distracting formats. | Visuals were confusing and distracting to the audience.        |       |
| Delivery                   | Professional, confident, conversational                           | Memorized, limited eye contact   | Read to the audience. No eye contact,                          |       |

Comments to evaluation committee (these will not go to the student):

### Department of Agricultural Economics

| Degre  | e:  |                   |                  |                              |  |
|--|---|-------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Why did you choose to pursue a graduate degree in Agricultural Economics?  |   |                   |                  |                              |  |
| What   | What did you like most about your Agricultural Economics graduate program at OSU? |                   |                  |                              |  |
| What   | did you like least abou   | t your Agricultı  | ural Economics   | graduate program at OSU?     |  |
| What   | additional courses wou  | ıld you like to s | see taught? Wh   | y? What would they cover?    |  |
| Which  | if any of the required  | courses should    | be removed fr    | om the "required" list? Why? |  |
| What   | are the greatest streng   | ths of the Agri   | cultural Econor  | nics faculty?                |  |
| What   | are the biggest weakno  | esses of the Ag   | ricultural Econo | omics faculty?               |  |
| What is the first step the Agricultural Economics Department should take to enhance the value of our graduate program? What could be done to improve students' educational experience? |   |                   |                  |                              |  |
| Did you participated in a teaching experience while a graduate student? If so, what class?   |   |                   |                  |                              |  |
| Satisfa  | action with degree pro  | gram.             |                  |                              |  |
| Sacisio  | Very Satisfied  | Satisfied         | Dissatisfied     | Very Dissatisfied            |  |
| Satisfa  | action of Preparation fo  | or Employment     | :                |                              |  |
|  | Very Satisfied  | Satisfied         | Dissatisfied     | Very Dissatisfied            |  |
| Satisfaction of Preparation for Additional Education:  |   |                   |                  |                              |  |
|  | Very Satisfied  | Satisfied         | Dissatisfied     | Very Dissatisfied            |  |
| Satisfaction of Availability of Faculty to Students:   |   |                   |                  |                              |  |
|  | Very Satisfied  | Satisfied         | Dissatisfied     | Very Dissatisfied            |  |
| Satisfaction of Academic Rigor of Major:   |   |                   |                  |                              |  |
|  | Very Satisfied  | Satisfied         | Dissatisfied     | Very Dissatisfied            |  |
| Satisfaction of Quality of Advising:   |   |                   |                  |                              |  |
|  | Very Satisfied  | Satisfied         | Dissatisfied     | Very Dissatisfied            |  |

Satisfaction of Quality of Overall Instruction:

Very Satisfied Satisfied Dissatisfied Very Dissatisfied

Satisfaction of Development of Communication Skills:

Very Satisfied Satisfied Dissatisfied Very Dissatisfied

Satisfaction of Development of Problem Solving Skills:

Very Satisfied Satisfied Dissatisfied Very Dissatisfied

Satisfaction of Development of Understanding of Economics:

Very Satisfied Satisfied Dissatisfied Very Dissatisfied